

# Inner ideals, compact tripotents and Čebyšev subtriples of $JB^*$ -triples and $C^*$ -algebras

Fatmah B. Jamjoom<sup>1</sup> · Antonio M. Peralta<sup>2</sup> · Akhlaq A. Siddiqui<sup>1</sup> ·  
Haifa M. Tahlawi<sup>1</sup>

Received: 11 August 2015 / Accepted: 20 January 2016 / Published online: 3 February 2016  
© The Author(s) 2016. This article is published with open access at Springerlink.com

**Abstract** The aim of this note is to study Čebyšev  $JB^*$ -subtriples of general  $JB^*$ -triples. It is established that if  $F$  is a non-zero Čebyšev  $JB^*$ -subtriple of a  $JB^*$ -triple  $E$ , then exactly one of the following statements holds:

- (a)  $F$  is a rank one  $JBW^*$ -triple with  $\dim(F) \geq 2$  (i.e. a complex Hilbert space regarded as a type 1 Cartan factor). Moreover,  $F$  may be a closed subspace of arbitrary dimension and  $E$  may have arbitrary rank;
- (b)  $F = \mathbb{C}e$ , where  $e$  is a complete tripotent in  $E$ ;
- (c)  $E$  and  $F$  are rank two  $JBW^*$ -triples, but  $F$  may have arbitrary dimension;
- (d)  $F$  has rank greater or equal than three and  $E = F$ .

**Keywords** Čebyšev/Chebyshev subspace ·  $JB^*$ -triples · Čebyšev/Chebyshev subtriple · von Neumann algebra ·  $C^*$ -algebra · Brown-Pedersen quasi-invertibility · spin factor

---

The authors extend their appreciation to the Deanship of Scientific Research at King Saud University for funding this work through research group no RG-1435-020. Second author partially supported by the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness project no. MTM2014-58984-P.

---

✉ Antonio M. Peralta  
aperalta@ugr.es

Fatmah B. Jamjoom  
fjamjoom@ksu.edu.sa

Akhlaq A. Siddiqui  
asiddiqui@ksu.edu.sa

Haifa M. Tahlawi  
htahlawi@ksu.edu.sa

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, College of Science, King Saud University, P.O.Box 2455-5, Riyadh 11451, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

<sup>2</sup> Departamento de Análisis Matemático, Universidad de Granada, Facultad de Ciencias, 18071 Granada, Spain

**Mathematics Subject Classification** Primary 41A50 · 41A52 · 41A65 · 46L10;  
Secondary 17C65 · 46L05

## 1 Introduction

It is known that certain problems on operator algebras are more feasible when the algebra under study is a von Neumann algebra (i.e. a  $C^*$ -algebra which is also a dual Banach space). For example, A.G. Robertson gave in [35] a complete description of one-dimensional Čebyšev subspaces, and of finite dimensional Čebyšev hermitian subalgebras with dimension bigger than 1 of a general von Neumann algebra. Concretely, for a non-zero element  $x$  in a von Neumann algebra  $M$ , the subspace  $\mathbb{C}x$  is a Čebyšev subspace of  $M$  if and only if there is a projection  $p$  in the center of  $M$  such that  $px$  is left invertible in  $pM$  and  $(1 - p)x$  is right invertible in  $(1 - p)M$  (cf. [35, Theorem 1]). A finite dimensional  $*$ -subalgebra  $N$  of an infinite dimensional von Neumann algebra  $M$  with  $\dim(N) > 1$  never is a Čebyšev subspace of  $M$  (see [35, Theorem 6]).

Two years later A.G. Robertson and D. Yost proved in [36, Corollary 1.4] that an infinite dimensional  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  admits a finite dimensional  $*$ -subalgebra  $B$  which is also a Čebyšev subspace in  $A$  if and only if  $A$  is unital and  $B = \mathbb{C}1$ . The results proved by Robertson and Yost were complemented by G.K. Pedersen, who shows that if  $A$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra without unit and  $B$  is a Čebyšev  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $A$ , then  $A = B$  (compare [34, Theorem 4]).

We recall that a subspace  $V$  of a Banach space  $X$  is called a *Čebyšev (Chebyshev) subspace* of  $X$  if for each  $x \in X$  there exists a unique point  $\pi_V(x) \in V$  such that  $\text{dist}(x, V) = \|x - \pi_V(x)\|$ . Throughout this note the symbol  $\pi_V(x)$  will denote the best approximation of an element  $x$  in  $X$  in a Čebyšev subspace  $V$  of  $X$ . For more information on Čebyšev and best approximation theory we refer to the monograph [37].

Similar benefits to those obtained working with von Neumann algebras re-appear when studying Čebyšev subspaces of Ternary Rings of Operators (TRO's) of a given von Neumann algebra, or when exploring Čebyšev JBW\*-subtriples of a given JBW\*-triple (see Sect. 2 for definitions). In a previous paper, we establish the following description of Čebyšev JBW\*-subtriples of a JBW\*-triple.

**Theorem 1** [26, Theorem 13] Let  $N$  be a non-zero Čebyšev JBW\*-subtriple of a JBW\*-triple  $M$ . Then exactly one of the following statements holds:

- (a)  $N$  is a rank one JBW\*-triple with  $\dim(N) \geq 2$  (i.e. a complex Hilbert space regarded as a type 1 Cartan factor). Moreover,  $N$  may be a closed subspace of arbitrary dimension and  $M$  may have arbitrary rank;
- (b)  $N = \mathbb{C}e$ , where  $e$  is a complete tripotent in  $M$ ;
- (c)  $N$  and  $M$  have rank two, but  $N$  may have arbitrary dimension;
- (d)  $N$  has rank greater or equal than three and  $N = M$ . □

We refer to [21, Preliminaries] and [11, Example 2.5.31] for the definition of Cartan factors.

The question whether in the above theorem JBW\*-triples and subtriples can be replaced with JB\*-triples and subtriples remained as an open problem. The techniques employed in [26] rely heavily on the rich geometric properties of JBW\*-triples. In this note we study this problem in the more general setting of JB\*-triples. We combine here new arguments involving inner ideals and compact tripotents in the bidual of a JB\*-triple. The main result of this note

shows that the conclusion of the above Theorem 1 also holds when  $N$  is a  $\text{JB}^*$ -subtriple of a general  $\text{JB}^*$ -triple  $M$  (see Theorem 11).

Among the new results proved in this note we also establish that a Čebyšëv  $\text{C}^*$ -subalgebra  $B$  (respectively, a Čebyšëv  $\text{JB}^*$ -subtriple) of a  $\text{C}^*$ -algebra  $A$  with  $\text{rank}(B) \geq 3$  coincides with the whole  $A$  (see Corollary 10).

## 2 Preliminaries

The multiple attempts to understand a Riemann mapping theorem type for complex Banach spaces of dimension bigger or equal than 2, led some mathematicians to the study of bounded symmetric domains (compare [10, 23, 24, 33] and [29]). The definite answer was given by W. Kaup, who showed the existence of a set of algebraic-geometric-analytic axioms which determine a class of complex Banach spaces, the class of  $\text{JB}^*$ -triples, whose open unit balls are bounded symmetric domains, and every bounded symmetric domain in a complex Banach space is biholomorphically equivalent to the open unit ball of a  $\text{JB}^*$ -triple; in this way, the category of all bounded symmetric domains with base point is equivalent to the category of  $\text{JB}^*$ -triples.

A  $\text{JB}^*$ -triple is a complex Banach space  $E$  with a continuous triple product  $(a, b, c) \mapsto \{a, b, c\}$ , which is bilinear and symmetric in the external variables and conjugate linear in the middle one and satisfies:

(a) (Jordan identity)

$$L(x, y)\{a, b, c\} = \{L(x, y)a, b, c\} - \{a, L(y, x)b, c\} + \{a, b, L(x, y)c\},$$

for all  $x, y, a, b, c \in E$ , where  $L(x, y) : E \rightarrow E$  is the linear mapping given by  $L(x, y)z = \{x, y, z\}$ ;

(b) For each  $x \in E$ , the operator  $L(x, x)$  is hermitian with non-negative spectrum;

(c)  $\| [x, x, x] \| = \|x\|^3$  for all  $x \in E$ .

Given an element  $a$  in a  $\text{JB}^*$ -triple  $E$ , the symbol  $Q(a)$  will denote the conjugate linear map on  $E$  defined by  $Q(a)(x) := \{a, x, a\}$ .

The class of  $\text{JB}^*$ -triples includes all  $\text{C}^*$ -algebras when the latter are equipped with the triple product given by

$$\{a, b, c\} = \frac{1}{2}(ab^*c + cb^*a). \quad (2.1)$$

The space  $B(H, K)$  of all bounded linear operators between complex Hilbert spaces, although rarely is a  $\text{C}^*$ -algebra, is a  $\text{JB}^*$ -triple with the product defined in (2.1). In particular, every complex Hilbert space is a  $\text{JB}^*$ -triple. Thus, the class of  $\text{JB}^*$ -triples is strictly wider than the class of  $\text{C}^*$ -algebras.

A  $\text{JBW}^*$ -triple is a  $\text{JB}^*$ -triple which is also a dual Banach space (with a unique isometric predual [1]). The triple product of every  $\text{JBW}^*$ -triple is separately weak\* continuous (cf. [1]). The second dual,  $E^{**}$ , of a  $\text{JB}^*$ -triple,  $E$ , is a  $\text{JBW}^*$ -triple with a certain triple product extending the product of  $E$  (cf. [12]).

Let  $E$  be a  $\text{JB}^*$ -triple. An element  $e \in E$  is called a *tripotent* if  $\{e, e, e\} = e$ . For each tripotent  $e \in E$ , the eigenspaces of the operator  $L(e, e)$  induce a decomposition (called *Peirce decomposition*) of  $E$  in the form

$$E = E_2(e) \oplus E_1(e) \oplus E_0(e),$$

where for  $i = 0, 1, 2$ ,  $E_i(e) = \{x \in E : L(e, e)(x) = \frac{i}{2}x\}$  (compare [33, Theorem 25]). The natural projections of  $E$  onto  $E_i(e)$  will be denoted by  $P_i(e)$ . It is known that this decomposition satisfies the following multiplication rules:

$$\{E_i(e), E_j(e), E_k(e)\} \subseteq E_{i-j+k}(e),$$

if  $i - j + k \in \{0, 1, 2\}$  and is zero otherwise. In addition,

$$\{E_2(e), E_0(e), E\} = \{E_0(e), E_2(e), E\} = 0.$$

A tripotent  $e$  in  $E$  is called *complete* (respectively, *minimal*) if the equality  $E_0(e) = 0$  (respectively,  $E_2(e) = \mathbb{C}e \neq \{0\}$ ) holds.

The connections between JB\*-triples and JB\*-algebras are very deep. Every JB\*-algebra is a JB\*-triple under the triple product defined by

$$\{x, y, z\} = (x \circ y^*) \circ z + (z \circ y^*) \circ x - (x \circ z) \circ y^*. \quad (2.2)$$

The Peirce 2-subspace  $E_2(e)$  is a JB\*-algebra with product  $x \circ_e y := \{x, e, y\}$  and involution  $x^{\sharp_e} := \{e, x, e\}$ .

Let  $a$  be an element in a JB\*-triple  $E$ . It is known that the JB\*-subtriple,  $E_a$ , generated by  $a$ , identifies with some  $C_0(L_a)$  where  $\|a\| \in L_a \subseteq [0, \|a\|]$  with  $L_a \cup \{0\}$  compact, and the element  $a$  is associated with a positive generating element in  $C_0(L_a)$  (cf. [29, 1.15]). The above identification lifts to that of the bidual of the JB\*-triple generated by  $a$  with a commutative von Neumann algebra the identity element of which,  $r(a)$ , is called the range tripotent of  $a$  in  $E^{**}$ , and we note that  $a$  is positive in  $E_2^{**}(r(a))$  (see [13, §3]).

A (closed) subtriple  $I$  of a JB\*-triple  $E$  is said to be a *triple ideal* or simply an *ideal* of  $E$  if  $\{E, E, I\} + \{E, I, E\} \subseteq I$ . If we only have  $\{I, E, I\} \subseteq I$  we say that  $I$  is an *inner ideal* of  $E$ . Following standard notation, given an element  $a$  in  $E$ , we denote by  $E(a)$  the norm-closure of  $Q(a)(E) = \{a, E, a\}$  in  $E$ . It is known that  $E(a)$  is precisely the norm-closed inner ideal of  $E$  generated by  $a$  (cf. [6]). The identity  $2Q(a, b) = Q(a + b) - Q(a) - Q(b)$  ( $a, b \in E$ ) implies that a JB\*-subtriple  $I$  of  $E$  is an inner ideal if and only if  $I$  contains  $E(a)$  for all  $a$  in  $I$ . It is also known that

$$E(a)^{**} = \overline{E(a)}^{\sigma(E^{**}, E^*)} = E_2^{**}(r(a)) \quad (2.3)$$

(see [6, Proposition 2.1 and comments prior to it]).

If  $e$  and  $a$  are contained in a JB\*-subtriple  $F$  of  $E$  we have

- (\*)  $F_k(e) = E_k(e)$  if and only if  $E_k(e)$  is contained in  $F$ ;
- (\*\*)  $F(a) = E(a)$  if and only if  $E(a)$  is contained in  $F$ .

The first of these equivalences is immediate upon application of the projection  $P_k(e)$ . As for (\*\*),  $F(a)$  is contained in  $E(a)$ , by definition, and if  $F$  contains  $E(a)$  then  $F^{**}$  contains  $E(a)^{**} = E_2^{**}(r(a))$  so that  $F(a)^{**} = E(a)^{**}$ , by (a), implying the result. A similar argument shows that  $F(a)$  is the intersection of  $F$  with  $E(a)$ .

We recall that two elements  $a, b$  in a JB\*-triple  $E$  are *orthogonal* (written as  $a \perp b$ ) if  $L(a, b) = 0$  (see [7, Lemma 1] for several equivalent reformulations). Given a subset  $M \subseteq E$ , we write  $M_E^\perp$  (or simply  $M^\perp$ ) for the (orthogonal) annihilator of  $M$  defined by  $M_E^\perp = \{y \in E : y \perp x, \forall x \in M\}$ . If  $e \in E$  is a tripotent, then  $\{e\}_E^\perp = E_0(e)$ , and  $\{a\}_E^\perp = (E^{**})_0(r(a)) \cap E$ , for every  $a \in E$  (cf. [8, Lemma 3.2]).

It is known that

$$\|a + b\| = \max\{\|a\|, \|b\|\}, \quad (2.4)$$

whenever  $a$  and  $b$  are orthogonal elements in a  $JB^*$ -triple (cf. [20, Lemma 1.3(a)]). A subset  $S \subseteq E$  is said to be *orthogonal* if  $0 \notin S$  and  $x \perp y$  for every  $x \neq y$  in  $S$ . The minimal cardinal number  $r$  satisfying  $\text{card}(S) \leq r$  for every orthogonal subset  $S \subseteq E$  is called the *rank* of  $E$  (and will be denoted by  $r(E)$ ). Given a tripotent  $e \in E$ , the rank of the Peirce 2-subspace  $E_2(e)$  will be called the rank of  $e$ .

We shall also make use of a natural partial order defined on the set of tripotents (see Corollary 1.7 and comments preceding it in [20]). Given two tripotents  $e, u$  in a  $JB^*$ -triple  $E$ , we say that  $e \leq u$  if  $u - e$  is a tripotent in  $E$  with  $u - e \perp e$ .

We finally, recall that an element  $x$  in a  $JB^*$ -triple  $E$  is called *Brown-Pedersen quasi-invertible* (BP quasi-invertible for short) if there exists  $y \in E$  such that  $B(x, y) = 0$  (cf. [27]), where  $B(x, y)$  denotes the Bergmann operator  $B(x, y) = I_E - 2L(x, y) + Q(x)Q(y)$ . Theorems 6 and 11 in [27] prove that an element  $x$  in  $E$  is Brown-Pedersen quasi-invertible if, and only if,  $x$  is von Neumann regular in the sense of [9, 15, 30] and its range tripotent is an extreme point of the closed unit ball of  $E$ , equivalently, there exists a complete tripotent  $v \in E$  such that  $x$  is positive and invertible in  $E_2(v)$ . In particular, every extreme point of the closed unit ball of  $E$  is BP quasi-invertible. The symbol  $E_q^{-1}$  will denote the set of BP quasi-invertible elements in  $E$ .

### 3 Čebyšëv subtriples of $JB^*$ -triples

The following auxiliary results were established in [26, §3]

**Proposition 2** [26, Propositions 9 and 10] Let  $F$  be a Čebyšëv  $JB^*$ -subtriple of a  $JB^*$ -triple  $E$ . Suppose  $e$  is a non-zero tripotent in  $F$ . Then the following statements hold:

- (a)  $E_0(e) = F_0(e)$ , and consequently, every complete tripotent in  $F$  is complete in  $E$ .
- (b) If  $\{e\}_F^\perp \neq 0$ , then  $E_2(e) = F_2(e)$ . □

We continue, in this paper, our study on Čebyšëv subtriples of general  $JB^*$ -triples. The first part of the next proposition owes much to the arguments developed by Pedersen in [34, Lemma 4].

**Proposition 3** Let  $a$  belong to a Čebyšëv  $JB^*$ -subtriple  $F$  of a  $JB^*$ -triple  $E$ . The following statements hold:

- (a) If  $a$  is not BP quasi-invertible we have  $F(a) = E(a)$ ;
- (b) If  $F$  contains no BP quasi-invertible elements we have that  $F$  is an inner ideal of  $E$ .

*Proof* (a) Since  $a$  is in  $F \setminus F_q^{-1}$  we have two possibilities either  $a$  is not von Neumann regular or  $a$  is von Neumann regular and its range tripotent is not an extreme point of the closed unit ball of  $F$ . We deal with each case separately. We can assume that  $\|a\| = 1$ . Suppose first that  $a$  is not von Neumann regular. Then 0 is a non-isolated point in the triple spectrum  $L_a$  of  $a$ . We know that in this case,  $0, 1 \in L_a \subseteq [0, 1]$ , with  $L_a$  compact. Regarding  $F_a$  as a commutative  $C^*$ -algebra by its identification with  $C_0(L_a)$  in the standard way, given a positive  $\varepsilon$ , as in [34, Lemma 4] we can choose positive norm one elements  $x, y$  and  $z$  in  $F_a$  such that  $\{y, x, y\} = x$  such that  $y$  (and hence,  $x$ ) is orthogonal to  $z$  and  $\|a - x\| < \varepsilon$ . In addition, we have that  $r(x)$  is orthogonal to  $y - r(x)$  (in  $F^{**}$ ). In particular,  $Q(y)^2$  must restrict to the identity map on  $E(x)$ . We claim that

$$F \text{ contains } E(x).$$

To see which, let  $w$  belong to  $E(x)$ . With  $c = \pi_F(w)$  we have  $c$  lies in  $F$  and  $\|w - Q(y)^2(c)\| = \|Q(y)^2(w - c)\| \leq \|w - c\|$ , so that  $c = Q(y)^2(c)$  by uniqueness of best approximation, which further implies that  $c$  is orthogonal to  $z$ . If  $c$  is not equal to  $w$  choose any positive real  $\lambda \leq \|w - c\|$  to give

$$\|w - (\lambda z + c)\| = \|(w - c) - \lambda z\| = \max\{\|w - c\|, \lambda\} = \|w - c\|,$$

contradicting unique approximation. Thus  $w = c$  and so belongs to  $F$ , which proves the claim. Since the above argument is true for all positive  $\varepsilon$  we deduce that  $E(a)$  is contained in  $F$ , as desired.

If  $a$  is von Neumann regular, so that its annihilator is nonzero and  $r(a)$  lies in  $F$  then, since  $a$  belongs to  $E_2(r(a))$ ,  $F$  must contain  $E(a)$  by Proposition 2(b). The statement (a) follows from (\*).

(b) Is immediate from (a) and the comments in page 4.  $\square$

**Lemma 4** *Let  $F$  be a  $JB^*$ -subtriple of a  $JB^*$ -triple  $E$ . Suppose  $F$  contains no BP quasi-invertible elements (equivalently,  $\partial_e(F_1) = \emptyset$ ). Then for each  $e \in \partial_e(E_1)$  we have  $\text{dist}(e, F) = 1$ . If  $F$  is a Čebyšëv subspace of  $E$ , we have  $\pi_F(e) = 0$ , for every  $e$  as above.*

*Proof* Suppose we can find  $x \in F$  satisfying  $\|e - x\| < 1$ . Then

$$\|e - P_2(e)(x)\| = \|P_2(e)(e - x)\| \leq \|e - x\| < 1.$$

Since  $e$  is the unit element of the  $JB^*$ -algebra  $E_2(e)$ , we deduce that  $P_2(e)(x)$  is an invertible element in  $E_2(e)$ . Lemma 2.2 in [25] implies that  $x$  is BP quasi-invertible in  $E$ , and hence BP quasi-invertible in  $F$ , which is impossible. The second statement is clear because  $\text{dist}(e, F) = 1 = \|e\|$ .

We establish now an strengthened version of Proposition 2. The result is inspired by an argument in [34, Theorem 4].

**Proposition 5** *Let  $F$  be a Čebyšëv  $JB^*$ -subtriple of a  $JB^*$ -triple  $E$ . Suppose that  $a$  is a non-zero element in  $F$ . Then  $\{a\}_E^\perp \subseteq F$ .*

*Proof* Arguing by contradiction, we suppose the existence of an element  $x \in \{a\}_E^\perp \setminus F$ . Fix a real number  $t$  and consider the automorphism of  $F$  (and  $E$ ) given by  $S_t = \exp(itL(a, a))$ . It is clear that  $S_t(\pi_F(x)) = \pi_F(S_t(x))$ , for every  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Having in mind that  $a \perp x$  it follows that  $L(a, a)^n(x) = 0$ , for every natural  $n$ , which shows that  $S_t(x) = x$  for every real  $t$ . Therefore

$$\pi_F(x) = \pi_F(x) + itL(a, a)(\pi_F(x)) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{i^n t^n}{n!} L(a, a)(\pi_F(x)).$$

Differentiating at  $t = 0$  we conclude that  $L(a, a)(\pi_F(x)) = 0$ , or equivalently  $a \perp \pi_F(x)$  (cf. [7, Lemma 1]).

We have proved that  $a \perp x, \pi_F(x)$ . Therefore  $\pi_F(x) + \mu a \in F$ , for every  $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$  and, by orthogonality,

$$0 < \text{dist}(x, F) = \|x - \pi_F(x)\| = \max\{\|x - \pi_F(x)\|, \|\mu a\|\} = \|x - \pi_F(x) - \mu a\|,$$

for every  $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $\|\mu a\| \leq \|x - \pi_F(x)\|$ , contradicting the uniqueness of the best approximation of  $x$  in  $F$ .  $\square$

We recall that a tripotent  $u$  in the bidual of a  $JB^*$ -triple  $E$  is said to be *open* when  $E_2^{**}(u) \cap E$  is weak\* dense in  $E_2^{**}(u)$  (see [14]). A tripotent  $e$  in  $E^{**}$  is said to be *compact- $G_\delta$*  (relative to  $E$ ) if there exists a norm one element  $a$  in  $E$  such that  $e$  coincides with  $s(a)$ , the support tripotent of  $a$  (see [14]). A tripotent  $e$  in  $E^{**}$  is said to be *compact* (relative to  $E$ ) if there exists a decreasing net  $(e_\lambda)$  of tripotents in  $E^{**}$  which are compact- $G_\delta$  with infimum  $e$ , or if  $e$  is zero.

Closed and bounded tripotents in  $E^{**}$  were introduced and studied in [16] and [17]. A tripotent  $e$  in  $E^{**}$  such that  $E_0^{**}(e) \cap E$  is weak\* dense in  $E_0^{**}(e)$  is called *closed* relative to  $E$ . When there exists a norm one element  $a$  in  $E$  such that  $a = e + P_0(e)(a)$ , the tripotent  $e$  is called *bounded* (relative to  $E$ ) (cf. [16]). Theorem 2.6 in [16] (see also [19, Theorem 3.2]) asserts that a tripotent  $e$  in  $E^{**}$  is compact if, and only if,  $e$  is closed and bounded.

**Corollary 6** *Let  $F$  be a Čebyšëv  $JB^*$ -subtriple of a  $JB^*$ -triple  $E$ . Let  $e$  be a tripotent in  $F^{**}$  satisfying that  $F_2^{**}(e) \cap F \neq \{0\}$ . Then  $\{e\}_E^\perp = \{x \in E : x \perp e\} = E \cap E_0^{**}(e) \subseteq F$ . Furthermore, if  $e$  is closed in  $E^{**}$  relative to  $E$  we also have  $E_0^{**}(e) = F_0^{**}(e)$ .*

*Proof* By hypothesis, the set  $F \cap F_2^{**}(e)$  is non-zero, thus, there exists a non-zero element  $a \in F \cap F_2^{**}(e)$ . It is easy to check that  $\{e\}_E^\perp \subseteq \{a\}_E^\perp$ , and the latter is contained in  $F$  by Proposition 5.

We have already proved that  $\{e\}_E^\perp = E \cap E_0^{**}(e) \subseteq F$ , which implies that  $E \cap E_0^{**}(e) = F \cap F_0^{**}(e)$ . Since  $e$  is closed in  $E^{**}$ , we can assure that

$$E_0^{**}(e) = \overline{E \cap E_0^{**}(e)}^{\sigma(E^{**}, E^*)} = \overline{F \cap F_0^{**}(e)}^{\sigma(E^{**}, E^*)} \subseteq F_0^{**}(e) \subseteq E_0^{**}(e).$$

□

**Corollary 7** *Let  $F$  be a Čebyšëv  $JB^*$ -subtriple of a  $JB^*$ -triple  $E$ . Let  $a$  be a non-zero element in  $F$  and let  $r(a)$  denote the range tripotent of  $a$  in  $F^{**}$ . Suppose that  $\{a\}_F^\perp \neq \{0\}$ . Then  $E_0^{**}(r(a)) = F_0^{**}(r(a))$ .*

*Proof* Proposition 3(a) implies that  $E(a) = F(a)$ . Therefore  $E(a)^{**} = F(a)^{**}$  is an open  $JB^*$ -subtriple of  $E^{**}$  relative to  $E$  in the sense employed in [16, 18, 19]. Proposition 3.3 in [19] (or [16, Corollary 2.9]) implies that every compact tripotent in  $F(a)^{**}$  is compact in  $E^{**}$ . Let us take a compact tripotent  $e \in F(a)^{**}$  satisfying that  $e \leq r(a)$  and  $F_2^{**}(e) \cap F \neq \{0\}$ . Since  $e$  is compact, and hence closed in  $E^{**}$  (cf. [16, Theorem 2.6]), Corollary 6 proves that  $E_0^{**}(e) = F_0^{**}(e)$ . Finally, it is easy to see that, since  $r(a) \geq e$ ,  $E_0^{**}(r(a)) \subseteq E_0^{**}(e) = F_0^{**}(e) \subseteq F^{**}$ , and hence  $E_0^{**}(r(a)) = F_0^{**}(r(a))$ .

We turn now our focus to the Peirce 1-subspace associated with a range tripotent. For this purpose we state the following technical lemma.

**Lemma 8** *Let  $e$  and  $f$  be orthogonal tripotents in a  $JB^*$ -subtriple  $F$  of a  $JB^*$ -triple  $E$  such that  $E_0(e)$ ,  $E_0(f)$  and  $E_2(e + f)$  are contained in  $F$ . Then  $E = F$ .*

*Proof* It is sufficient to show that the Peirce 1-subspace of  $e + f$  is contained in  $F$  (since the other two Peirce subspaces of  $e + f$  are automatically in  $F$ ). It is known (elementary calculation) that  $E_1(e + f)$  is always contained in  $E_0(e) + E_0(f)$ , which is contained in  $F$  by hypothesis, giving the result. □

We can establish now our first main result on Čebyšëv  $JB^*$ -subtriples of a general  $JB^*$ -triple.

**Theorem 9** *Let  $F$  be a Čebyšev  $JB^*$ -subtriple of a  $JB^*$ -triple  $E$ . Suppose  $F$  has rank greater or equal than three. Then  $E = F$ .*

*Proof* Since  $F$  has rank greater or equal than three, we can find mutually orthogonal norm-one elements  $a, b, c$  in  $F$ .

Proposition 3(a) yields  $E(a + b) = F(a + b)$ . From (2.3) we conclude that

$$E_2^{**}(r(a + b)) = \overline{E(a + b)}^{\sigma(E^{**}, E^*)} = \overline{F(a + b)}^{\sigma(F^{**}, F^*)} \subseteq F^{**}.$$

Corollary 7 now implies that  $E_0^{**}(r(a)) = F_0^{**}(r(a))$  and  $E_0^{**}(r(b)) = F_0^{**}(r(b))$ . We deduce from Lemma 8 that  $E^{**} = F^{**}$ . Finally, as a consequence of the Hahn-Banach Theorem, it is easy to check that  $E = F$ , as desired.  $\square$

**Corollary 10** *Let  $B$  be a Čebyšev  $JB^*$ -subtriple of a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ . Suppose  $B$  has rank greater or equal than three. Then  $A = B$ .*

It remains to study Čebyšev  $JB^*$ -subtriples of rank smaller or equal than two. In this case, the conclusion will follow from the main result in [26] and the studies about finite rank  $JB^*$ -triples developed in [5] and [2].

**Theorem 11** *Let  $F$  be a non-zero Čebyšev  $JB^*$ -subtriple of a  $JB^*$ -triple  $E$ . Then exactly one of the following statements holds:*

- (a)  *$F$  is a rank one  $JBW^*$ -triple with  $\dim(F) \geq 2$  (i.e. a complex Hilbert space regarded as a type 1 Cartan factor). Moreover,  $F$  may be a closed subspace of arbitrary dimension and  $E$  may have arbitrary rank;*
- (b)  *$F = \mathbb{C}e$ , where  $e$  is a complete tripotent in  $E$ ;*
- (c)  *$E$  and  $F$  are rank two  $JBW^*$ -triples, but  $F$  may have arbitrary dimension;*
- (d)  *$F$  has rank greater or equal than three and  $E = F$ .*

*Proof* If  $F$  has rank  $\geq 3$ , Theorem 9 implies that  $E = F$ . We may therefore assume that  $F$  has rank  $\leq 2$ . It follows from [5, Proposition 4.5 and comments at the beginning of §4] (see also [2, §3]) that  $F$  is reflexive. So,  $F$  is a reflexive  $JBW^*$ -triple of rank  $\leq 2$ .

We shall adapt next the arguments in the proof of [26, Theorem 13], providing a simplified argument. Every  $JBW^*$ -triple admits an abundant collection of complete tripotents or extreme points of its closed unit ball (cf. [3, Lemma 4.1] and [32, Proposition 3.5] or [11, Theorem 3.2.3]). Thus, we can find a complete tripotent  $e$  in  $F$ . There are only two possibilities: either  $e$  is minimal in  $F$  or  $e$  has rank two in  $F$ .

When  $e$  is rank two in  $F$ , we can write  $e = e_1 + e_2$  with  $e_1, e_2$  mutually orthogonal minimal tripotents in  $F$ . Proposition 2 proves that  $E_2(e_j) = F_2(e_j) = \mathbb{C}e_j$ ,  $E_0(e_j) = F_0(e_j)$ , and  $E_0(e_1 + e_2) = F_0(e_1 + e_2) = \{0\}$ , which proves that  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  are minimal tripotents in  $E$ ,  $e$  is complete in  $E$ , and  $E$  is a rank-2  $JBW^*$ -triple.

We finally assume that  $e$  is minimal and complete in  $F$ . If  $\dim(F) = 1$ , then  $F = \mathbb{C}e$ , and we are in case (b), otherwise we are in case (a).  $\square$

It should be remarked here that Remark 7 in [26] provides an example of an infinite dimensional rank-one Čebyšev  $JB^*$ -subtriple of a  $JB^*$ -triple, while [26, Remark 13] gives an example of a rank-one Čebyšev  $JB^*$ -subtriple of a rank- $n$   $JBW^*$ -triple, where  $n$  is an arbitrary natural number.

**Acknowledgements** We are indebted to the anonymous referees for their detailed reports, which significantly improved the paper, and provided arguments to simplify several proofs.



**Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

## References

1. Barton, T., Timoney, R.M.: Weak\*-continuity of Jordan triple products and its applications. *Math. Scand.* **59**, 177–191 (1986)
2. Becerra Guerrero, J., López Pérez, G., Peralta, A.M., Rodríguez-Palacios, A.: Relatively weakly open sets in closed balls of Banach spaces, and real  $JB^*$ -triples of finite rank. *Math. Ann.* **330**(1), 45–58 (2004)
3. Braun, R.B., Kaup, W., Upmeyer, H.: A holomorphic characterization of Jordan- $C^*$ -algebras. *Math. Z.* **161**, 277–290 (1978)
4. Bunce, L.J.: Norm preserving extensions in  $JBW^*$ -triple preduals. *Quart. J. Math. Oxf.* **52**(2), 133–136 (2001)
5. Bunce, L.J., Chu, C.-H.: Compact operations, multipliers and Radon-Nikodym property in  $JB^*$ -triples. *Pac. J. Math.* **153**, 249–265 (1992)
6. Bunce, L.J., Chu, C.H., Zalar, B.: Structure spaces and decomposition in  $JB^*$ -triples. *Math. Scand.* **86**, 17–35 (2000)
7. Burgos, M., Fernández-Polo, F.J., Garcés, J.J., Martínez Moreno, J., Peralta, A.M.: Orthogonality preservers in  $C^*$ -algebras,  $JB^*$ -algebras and  $JB^*$ -triples. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **348**, 220–233 (2008)
8. Burgos, M., Garcés, J.J., Peralta, A.M.: Automatic continuity of biorthogonality preservers between weakly compact  $JB^*$ -triples and atomic  $JBW^*$ -triples. *Studia Math.* **204**(2), 97–121 (2011)
9. Burgos, M., Kaidi, A., Morales, A., Campoy, A.M., Peralta, M.I., Ramírez, M.: Von Neumann regularity and quadratic conorms in  $JB^*$ -triples and  $C^*$ -algebras. *Acta Math. Sin.* **24**(2), 185–200 (2008)
10. Cartan, E.: Sur les domaines bornés homogènes de l'espace de  $n$  variables complexes. *Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hambg.* **11**, 116–162 (1935)
11. Chu, C.H.H.: *Jordan Structures in Geometry and Analysis*, Cambridge Tracts in Math. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2012)
12. Dineen, S.: The second dual of a  $JB^*$ -triple system. In: Mujica, J. (ed.) *Complex analysis. Functional Analysis and Approximation Theory*, Amsterdam (1986)
13. Edwards, C.M., Rüttimann, G.T.: On the facial structure of the unit balls in a  $JBW^*$ -triple and its predual. *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* **38**, 317–322 (1988)
14. Edwards, C.M., Rüttimann, G.T.: Compact tripotents in bi-dual  $JB^*$ -triples. *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **120**, 155–173 (1996)
15. Fernández López, A., García Rus, E., Sánchez Campos, E., Siles Molina, M.: Strong regularity and generalized inverses in Jordan systems. *Comm. Algebra* **20**, 1917–1936 (1992)
16. Fernández-Polo, F.J., Peralta, A.M.: Closed tripotents and weak compactness in the dual space of a  $JB^*$ -triple. *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* **74**(1), 75–92 (2006)
17. Fernández-Polo, F.J., Peralta, A.M.: Compact tripotents and the Stone-Weierstrass theorem for  $C^*$ -algebras and  $JB^*$ -triples. *J. Oper. Theory* **58**(1), 157–173 (2007)
18. Fernández-Polo, F.J., Peralta, A.M.: Weak compactness in the dual space of a  $JB^*$ -triple is commutatively determined. *Math. Scand.* **105**(2), 307–319 (2009)
19. Fernández-Polo, F.J., Peralta, A.M.: Non-commutative generalisations of Urysohn's lemma and hereditary inner ideals. *J. Funct. Anal.* **259**(2), 343–358 (2010)
20. Friedman, Y., Russo, B.: Structure of the predual of a  $JBW^*$ -triple. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **356**, 67–89 (1985)
21. Friedman, Y., Russo, B.: A Gelfand-Naimark theorem for  $JB^*$ -triples. *Duke Math. J.* **53**, 139–148 (1986)
22. Hanche-Olsen, H., Størmer, E.: *Jordan operator algebras, monographs and studies in mathematics* 21. Pitman, London (1984)
23. Harris, L. A.: Bounded symmetric homogeneous domains in infinite dimensional spaces. In: *Proceedings on Infinite Dimensional Holomorphy* (Internat. Conf., Univ. Kentucky, Lexington, Ky., 1973), Lecture Notes in Math. Vol 364, pp. 13–40. Springer, Berlin (1974)
24. Harris, L. A.: A generalization of  $C^*$ -algebras. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3). **42**(2), 331–361 (1981)
25. Jamjoom, F., Peralta, A.M., Siddiqui, A.A., Tahlawi, H.M.: Approximation and convex decomposition by extremals and the  $\lambda$ -function in  $JBW^*$ -triples. *Quart. J. Math.* **66**, 583–603 (2015)
26. Jamjoom, F.B., Peralta, A.M., Siddiqui, A.A., Tahlawi, H.M.: Čebyšev subspaces of  $JBW^*$ -triples. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2015**, 288 (2015). doi:[10.1186/s13660-015-0813-2](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13660-015-0813-2)

27. Jamjoom, F.B., Siddiqui, A.A., Tahlawi, H.M.: On the geometry of the unit ball of a  $JB^*$ -triple, *Abstract and Applied Analysis* **JB<sup>\*</sup>-triple**. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* **2013**, 8 (2013). doi:[10.1155/2013/891249](https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/891249). (Article ID 891249)
28. Kadison, R.V., Ringrose, J.R.: *Fundamental of the theory of operator algebras*, Vol. I, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI (1997)
29. Kaup, W.: A Riemann mapping theorem for bounded symmetric domains in complex banach spaces. *Math. Z.* **183**, 503–529 (1983)
30. Kaup, W.: On spectral and singular values in  $JB^*$ -triples. *Proc. Roy. Irish Acad. Sect. A* **96**(1), 95–103 (1996)
31. Kaup, W.: On Grassmanian associated with  $JB^*$ -triples. *Math. Z.* **236**, 567–584 (2001)
32. Kaup, W., Upmeyer, H.: Jordan algebras and symmetric Siegel domains in banach spaces. *Math. Z.* **157**, 179–200 (1977)
33. Loos, O.: *Bounded symmetric domains and Jordan pairs*. University of California, Irvine, Math. Lectures (1977)
34. Pedersen, G.K.: Čebyšëv subspaces of a  $C^*$ -algebra. *Math. Scand.* **45**, 147–156 (1979)
35. Robertson, A.G.: Best approximation in von Neumann algebras. *Math. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc.* **81**, 233–236 (1977)
36. Robertson, A.G., Yost, D.: Chebyshev subspaces of operator algebras. *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* **2**(19), 523–531 (1979)
37. Singer, I.: *Best approximation in normed linear spaces by elements of linear subspaces*. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin (1970)
38. Takesaki, M.: *Theory of operator algebras I*. Springer, Berlin (1979)